

Se les preguntó si estaban o no de acuerdo con la frase:
 "women should take care of running their homes and leave running the country up to men"

Datos

> frase					21	20 males	3	23
	yearseducation	genero	conteos	totales	22	0 female	4	6
1	0	males	4	6	23	1 female	1	2
2	1	males	2	3	24	2 female	1	2
3	2	males	4	5	25	3 female	6	7
4	3	males	6	9	26	4 female	10	11
5	4	males	5	10	27	5 female	14	21
6	5	males	13	20	28	6 female	17	22
7	6	males	25	34	29	7 female	26	42
8	7	males	27	42	30	8 female	21	45
9	8	males	75	124	31	9 female	30	65
10	9	males	29	58	32	10 female	55	122
11	10	males	32	77	33	11 female	50	112
12	11	males	36	95	34	12 female	190	593
13	12	males	115	360	35	13 female	17	109
14	13	males	31	101	36	14 female	18	99
15	14	males	28	107	37	15 female	7	41
16	15	males	9	32	38	16 female	13	128
17	16	males	15	125	39	17 female	3	31
18	17	males	3	32	40	18 female	1	21
19	18	males	1	29	41	19 female	1	3
20	19	males	2	15	42	20 female	2	6

MODELO CON 1 VARIABLE EXPLICATIVA

```
> mod1<-glm(cbind(conteos,totales-conteos)~yearseducation,family=binomial,data= frase)
> summary(mod1)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ yearseducation,
    family = binomial, data = frase)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.7542	-0.9588	-0.2149	0.8569	1.9917

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	2.13233	0.17658	12.08	<2e-16 ***
yearseducation	-0.24199	0.01511	-16.02	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 361.456 on 41 degrees of freedom
 Residual deviance: 49.084 on 40 degrees of freedom
 AIC: 200.35

Baja bastante el valor de la
Deviance

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

MODELO CON 1 VARIABLE EXPLICATIVA

```
> mod1<-glm(cbind(conteos,totales-conteos)~genero,family=binomial,data= frase)
> summary(mod1)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ genero, family = binomial,
    data = frase)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-6.0102	-2.0486	0.7181	2.5276	5.6304

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-0.72049	0.05525	-13.041	<2e-16 ***
generomales	0.12675	0.07994	1.586	0.113

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 361.46 on 41 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 358.94 on 40 degrees of freedom
AIC: 510.21

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

No es significativa

No baja mucho la Deviance

MODELO CON 2 VARIABLES EXPLICATIVAS

```
> mod2<-glm(cbind(conteos,totales-conteos)~genero+yearseducation,family=binomial,data=
frase)
> summary(mod2)
```

Call:

```
glm(formula = cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ genero + yearseducation,
    family = binomial, data = frase)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.5751	-0.9477	-0.2613	0.7238	2.0209

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	2.08139	0.18243	11.409	<2e-16 ***
generomales	0.09141	0.08485	1.077	0.281
yearseducation	-0.24124	0.01510	-15.976	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 361.456 on 41 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 47.924 on 39 degrees of freedom
AIC: 201.2

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

La Deviance es poco diferente a
49.084

MODELO CON INTERACCIÓN

```
> mod3<-glm(cbind(conteos,totales-conteos)~yearseducation*genero,family=binomial,data= frase)
>
> summary(mod3)
```

```
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ yearseducation *
    genero, family = binomial, data = frase)
```

Deviance Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.4552	-0.8600	-0.1731	0.5884	2.1430

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	2.28618	0.27107	8.434	<2e-16 ***
yearseducation	-0.25913	0.02313	-11.203	<2e-16 ***
generomales	-0.26914	0.35693	-0.754	0.451
yearseducation:generomales	0.03174	0.03051	1.040	0.298

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 361.456 on 41 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 46.839 on 38 degrees of freedom
AIC: 202.11

Bajo otro poquito la Deviance

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

SELECCIÓN DE VARIABLES

```
>
> anova(mod1 ,mod2,mod3)
Analysis of Deviance Table
```

Model 1: cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ yearseducation
Model 2: cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ genero + yearseducation
Model 3: cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ yearseducation * genero

	Resid.	Df	Resid. Dev	Df	Deviance
1	40		49.084		
2	39		47.924	1	1.1598
3	38		46.839	1	1.0859

Diferencias de las Deviance, modelos anidados.

```
> anova(mod1,mod3)
Analysis of Deviance Table
```

Model 1: cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ yearseducation
Model 2: cbind(conteos, totales - conteos) ~ yearseducation * genero

	Resid.	Df	Resid. Dev	Df	Deviance
1	40		49.084		
2	38		46.839	2	2.2458

Diferencias modelo chico vs el más grande

```
> 1-pchisq(2.24,2)
[1] 0.3262798
> 1-pchisq(1.15,1)
[1] 0.2835491
> 1-pchisq(1.08,1)
[1] 0.2986976
```

Estos son los valores de los p-values para esas diferencias de Deviance, ninguna sale significativa, es decir explican lo mismo el modelo grande y el chico, entonces se prefiere el modelo chico

Proporción de personas que están de acuerdo con la frase

